

STAKE HOLDER CONSULTATION PROCESS OFFSHORE GRID NL	
Type:	Feedback report
Work stream	Technical
Topics:	T.14 OM interface management
Filename	ONL 15-141 T14_OM interface management_FBRe_v1
Version	1 – public release
Pages	3

QUALITY CONTROL		
Prepared:	Barry Vree	17.11.2015
Reviewed:	Michiel Müller	17.11.2015
Approved:	Frank Wester	25.11.2015
Release	Public	

Table of Contents

1. MOMENTS OF FEEDBACK	2
2. FEEDBACK AND ACTION	2

1. Moments of feedback

Feedback	Abbreviation
Expert meeting 27.11.2014	EM01
Expert meeting 29.01.2015	EM02
Expert meeting 18.03.2015	EM03
Expert meeting 15&16.04.2015	EM04
Expert meeting 12&13.05.2015	EM05
Consultation website March	WS01
Consultation website April	WS02
Consultation website May	WS03
Consultation website June	WS04
Consultation website November	WS09
Bi-lateral meetings	BL01

2. Feedback and action

Feedback	Feedback moment	Action
Pos. 2.2.4: Please provide customers processes, protocols and standards as part of the agreement.	WS09	Feedback was received after closing date of the topic. See "ONL-TTB-3040 T14_OM interface management_PP_v3"
Pos. 2.2.8: Please define the curtailment interface as part of the agreement.	WS09	Feedback was received after closing date of the topic. See "ONL-TTB-3040 T14_OM interface management_PP_v3"
Pos. 2.2.3: Which permit system is used? And how can you ensure a smooth interface? This is important to determine costs. In addition a smooth interface to the marine coordination system should also be a priority when defining the system.	WS09	Feedback was received after closing date of the topic. See "ONL-TTB-3040 T14_OM interface management_PP_v3"
2.2.2 Access to platform: Please specify the notification period needed	WS09	Feedback was

to inform TenneT and mobilize the personnel		received after closing date of the topic. See "ONL-TTB-3040 T14_OM interface management_PP_v3"
2.2.6: Will TenneT realize these communication systems? Or should every WPO develop a system for themselves? When combined with Borssele III+IV TenneT is in the position to realize the synergies	WS09	Feedback was received after closing date of the topic. See "ONL-TTB-3040 T14_OM interface management_PP_v3"
2.2.7 switching: "The switching plans for overlapping activities should be aligned": What is the procedure for this?	WS09	Feedback was received after closing date of the topic. See "ONL-TTB-3040 T14_OM interface management_PP_v3"
2.2.7: What are the response times of switching? 2.2.9: What is the reason we do not agree on response times in this document?	WS09	Feedback was received after closing date of the topic. See "ONL-TTB-3040 T14_OM interface management_PP_v3"
2.3.1. Please specify time frame when planning is delivered	WS09	Feedback was received after closing date of the topic. See "ONL-TTB-3040 T14_OM interface management_PP_v3"
2.3.2: "For this information exchange and the adaption time, appointments should be set-up in the way of working and communication. Also in this way, logistics to the platform and wind areas could be combined" How will the cost allocation work for those activities	WS09	Feedback was received after closing date of the topic. See "ONL-TTB-3040 T14_OM interface management_PP_v3"

Other feedback related to the legal agreements are treated in the legal topics Q&A table.