

| STAKE HOLDER CONSULTATION PROCESS OFFSHORE GRID NL | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Type: | Feedback report |
| Work stream: | Technical |
| Topics: | T.9 Metering |
| Status: | Closed for consultation |
| Filename: | ONL 15-136 T9_Metering_FBRe_v3 |
| Version: | 3 – public release |
| Pages: | 3 |

| QUALITY CONTROL | | |
|-----------------|----------------|------------|
| Prepared: | Anna Ritzen | 06.08.2015 |
| Reviewed: | Michiel Müller | 17.08.2015 |
| Approved: | Frank Wester | 08.09.2015 |
| Release: | Public | |

Please note that the intention of this feedback report is to illustrate the overall discussion and results. The text should be placed in the greater context of transparency about TenneT 's consultation process. This text is not legally binding and could be modified during the stakeholder consultation process.

Table of Contents

| | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|
| 1. MOMENTS OF FEEDBACK | 2 |
| 2. FEEDBACK AND ACTION | 2 |

1. Moments of feedback

| Feedback | Abbreviation |
|----------------------------|--------------|
| Expert meeting 27.11.2014 | EM01 |
| Expert meeting 02.07.2015 | EM06 |
| Consultation website April | WS02 |
| Consultation website June | WS04 |
| Bi-lateral meetings | BL01 |

2. Feedback and action

| Feedback | Feedback moment | Action |
|--|-----------------|--|
| The proposed approach was not challenged. | EM01 | Notification |
| Metering can be managed by TenneT or OWF, but can be arranged in a proper way. There is preference for the least complicated solution, which might be management by TenneT, eg. with one metering body in charge of all metering for all grid connections. | WS02 | Noted |
| TenneT suggests that (opposed to the country specific), the operator holds a tender for a registered independent meter lot for selecting. Question was asked for further suggestions: OWF understands TenneT's wish to do so and is inclined to go with that. Officially TenneT must leave the choice up to the party that will connect and therefore it would be easiest to adapt/change the 'wet windenergie op zee'. A quick and practical solution would be that each OWF requests TenneT to provide measurement device. Attention point is that joint purchasing is not illegal but certain rules have to be obeyed. Abuse of 'buying power' can be seen as a violation of the law (Mededingingswet). | WS04 | Noted |
| It is understood that TenneT intends to supply the tariff metering to the relevant codes. Is it normal practice for the TSO to supply and own tariff metering systems in the Netherlands ? Who is responsible for ensuring metering data and any other relevant information relating to the tariff metering is provided into the Dutch settlement system ? It is presumed TenneT retains O&M responsibility for the metering system through life? | BL01 | See "ONL 15-185-T9_Metering_PP_v1": The 'tariff metering' will be done by a certified independent measuring company which also will have the O&M execution. |

| | | |
|---|------|--|
| | | Responsibility will stay at connected party, but organisation by TenneT |
| Where precisely on the OSP would TenneT install the metering ? | BL01 | Incorporated in "ONL 15-185-T9_Metering_PP_v1" |
| Only OWF asset on platform is metering (from independent party). Metering will be done on the connection point. | BL01 | See " ONL 15-185-T8_SCADA communication interface and data links_PP_v2": OWF has its own room on the offshore platform where OWF assets can be installed. Accountable metering is not an OWF asset and will be provided by TenneT through an independent party. Metering for wind park control is the responsibility of OWF. |
| Please clarify if the metering is done onshore or offshore? Furthermore no comments. | EM06 | Offshore |
| | | |